## The multivariate Gauss distribution and related topics

Sandra Fortini Bocconi University, Milano

1 / 51

MSc in Finance

## Outline

- Review: continuous distributions
- The normal distribution and related distributions: chi-squared, student's t, F
- The multivariate normal distribution
- Linear transformations of a standard normal vector
- Quadratic forms in a standard normal vector
- The standardized normal distribution

Reference: Greene W. H. Econometric Analysis Appendix B

- 4 ⊒ →

## Continuous distributions

A random variable X is continuous if

$$P(a < X \le b) = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$$



© 2003 Encyclopædia Britannica, Inc.

Prices, returns, volumes, indices etc. are continuous random variables.

## Numerical characteristic of continuous distributions

• standard deviation  $\sigma = \sqrt{E(X - \mu)^2}$ :=mean deviation of X from  $\mu$ ;

• 
$$\sigma^2 = E(X - \mu)^2 = V(X)$$
: variance of X.

- skewness coefficient ς = <sup>E(X-μ)<sup>3</sup></sup>/<sub>σ<sup>3</sup></sub>: measures asymmetry symmetric distribution ς = 0; long right tail ς > 0; long left tail ς < 0</li>
- excess of kurtosis  $\kappa = \frac{E(X-\mu)^4}{\sigma^4} 3$ : measures tails thickness the larger  $\kappa$ , the thicker the tails.

Remark: the above parameters can be not defined or  $\infty$ .

fortini

□ ▶ ★ □ ▶ ★ □ ▶ ● □



Negative Skew

Positive Skew

メロト メポト メヨト メヨト

## Different degrees of Kurtosis



On the left densities; on the right their logarithms

The red curve is the one with the largest Kurtosis

э

- ∢ ∃ ▶

## Multivariate distributions

The random variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  have continuous distribution if

$$P(a_1 < X_1 \le b_1, \dots, a_n < X_n \le b_n) = \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \dots \int_{a_n}^{b_n} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n$$

f is called the *joint density* of  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ .



7 / 51

Suppose now you are interested only in the first k variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_k$  (k < n)

The density function of  $X_1, \ldots, X_k$  can be found integrating out the remaining variables:

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_k) = \int \int \ldots \int f(\underbrace{x_1,\ldots,x_k}_{keep},\underbrace{x_{k+1},\ldots,x_n}_{integrate}) dx_{k+1}\ldots dx_n$$

 $f(x_1,\ldots,x_k)$  is called the *marginal density* of  $X_1,\ldots,X_k$ .

Remark: with an abuse of notation we write f for all densities



9 / 51

## Conditional distributions

Consider the random variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  with joint density f.

Split the variables into two groups:  $X_1, \ldots, X_k$  and  $X_{k+1}, \ldots, X_n$ .

Suppose you are interested in the distribution of  $X_{k+1} \dots, X_n$  in the hypothesis that  $X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_k = x_k$ 

called conditional distribution of  $X_{k+1}, \ldots, X_n$  given that  $X_1 = x_1, \ldots, X_k = x_k$ .

The conditional density is

$$f(x_{k+1},\ldots,x_n|x_1,\ldots,x_k) = \frac{f(\overbrace{x_1,\ldots,x_k}^{given},x_{k+1},\ldots,x_n)}{f(\underbrace{x_1,\ldots,x_k}_{given})}$$

Notation:  $X_{k+1} \dots, X_n | X_1, \dots, X_k \sim f$ 

## Conditional density



 $f(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_n | x_1, \ldots, x_k)$  is obtained by looking at  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  as a function of  $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_n$ , keeping  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$  fixed, and then re-scaling.

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

The random variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_k$  are independent of  $X_{k+1}, \ldots, X_n$  if

$$f(x_{k+1},\ldots,x_n|x_1,\ldots,x_k) = f(x_{k+1},\ldots,x_n)$$

This holds iff

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = f(x_1,\ldots,x_k)f(x_{k+1},\ldots,x_n)$$

The above definition can be extended to more than two groups.

The random variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are *independent* if

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = f(x_1)f(x_2)\ldots f(x_n)$$

A random vector is a vector 
$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{bmatrix}$$
 of random variables

The expected value of a random vector is defined as the vector of the expected values:

$$\mu = E(\mathbf{X}) = \begin{bmatrix} E(X_1) \\ E(X_2) \\ \vdots \\ E(X_n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mu_n \end{bmatrix}$$

▲日 > ▲圖 > ▲酒 > ▲酒 > □ □

## Covariance

The covariance matrix of  ${\bf X}$  is defined as

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = V(\mathbf{X}) = E((\mathbf{X} - \mu)(\mathbf{X} - \mu)^T) = E(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T) - \mu\mu^T$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \dots & \sigma_{1n} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} & \dots & \sigma_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_{n1} & \sigma_{n2} & \dots & \sigma_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\sigma_{ii} = V(X_i)$$
  
$$\sigma_{ij} = Cov(X_i, X_j) = E((X_i - \mu_i)(X_j - \mu_j))$$

Hence  $\Sigma$  contains the variances in the diagonal and the covariances off the diagonal.

æ

<ロト < 団ト < 団ト < 団ト

In matrix notation, the properties of expectation and variance can be written in a very compact way

- $E(\mathbf{AX}) = \mathbf{A}E(\mathbf{X})$
- $V(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{A}V(\mathbf{X})\mathbf{A}^T$
- If  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  are independent, then  $Cov(X_i, X_j) = 0$
- If  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are independent, then  $\Sigma$  is diagonal.

## Partitioning

-

Partition: 
$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ \vdots \\ X_k \end{bmatrix}$$
  
Write  $\mathbf{X}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{bmatrix}$   
 $\mathbf{X}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} X_{k+1} \\ X_{k+2} \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 \\ \mathbf{X}_2 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{bmatrix}$ 

\_

2

メロト メポト メヨト メヨト

 $\mu$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  can be partitioned likewise:

$$\mu = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \mathbf{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{11} & \mathbf{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \mathbf{\Sigma}_{21} & \mathbf{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Problem:

you know the density of  $\mathbf{X}:$   $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})$  you know that  $\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X})$ 

want to find the density of  $\mathbf{Y}$ :  $f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y})$ .

### Theorem

Let g be one-to-one and continuously differentiable, J= Jacobian matrix of  $g^{-1}.$  If  $\det(J(y))\neq 0$   $\forall y,$  then

$$f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) = f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{g}^{-1}(\mathbf{y})) |\det \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{y})|$$

( Jacobian = matrix of all first-order partial derivatives)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### Exercise 1

Let  $X = (X_1, X_2)$  with  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  i.i.d. random variables with density

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\{-x^2/2\}$$

Furthermore, let  $Y = (Y_1, Y_2)$  with  $Y_1 = X_1 + X_2$ ,  $Y_2 = X_1 + 2X_2$ .

- a) Find the expectation and variance of X
- b) Find skewness and excess kurtosis coefficients of  $X_1$  and of  $X_2$
- c) Find the density function of  $Z = X_1^2$
- d) Find E(Y) and V(Y).
- e) Write the joint density of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$
- f) Find the joint density of  $Y_1$ ,  $Y_2$ . Are  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  stochastically independent?
- g) Find the marginal density of  $Y_1$
- h) Find the conditional density of  $Y_2$ , given  $Y_1$

(Hint: 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\{-x^2\} dx = \sqrt{\pi}$$
)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

## The normal (or Gauss) distribution

Let X be a continuous random variable with  $\mu = E(X)$ ,  $\sigma^2 = V(X)$ .

 $\boldsymbol{X}$  has normal distribution if

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$



3 K K 3 K

The Gauss distribution is the *normal* law of errors.

Why?

It can be proved that if X is the sum of a large number of *independent* and *uniformly small* random variables, then the distribution of X is well approximated by the normal law.

This is the *Central Limit Theorem* (CLT)

The CLT explains why errors have Gaussian law:

an error has *many different sources*, each of which gives a *small contribution*. If the sources are *independent*, the error has normal distribution.

• • = • • = •

The normal law is stable with respect to linear transformations:

• If X has normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , then

$$aX + b \sim N[a\mu + b, a^2\sigma^2]$$

• If X has normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , then

$$\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma} \sim N[0,1]$$

The chi-squared distribution with n degrees of freedom has density

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} cx^{n/2-1}e^{-x/2} & \text{if } x > 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where c depends on n and is such that the density integrates to 1.



B ▶ < B ▶

## Properties of the chi-square distribution

 If X<sub>1</sub> and X<sub>2</sub> are independent chi-squared variables with n<sub>1</sub> and n<sub>2</sub> degrees of freedom, respectively, then

$$X_1 + X_2 \sim \chi^2 [n_1 + n_2]$$

- If  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are independent *chi-squared*[1] variables, then  $X_1 + \cdots + X_n \sim \chi^2[n]$
- If  $Z \sim N[0,1]$ , then

$$X = Z^2 \sim \chi^2[1]$$

• If  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$  are independent N[0,1] variables, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_i^2 \sim \chi^2[n]$$

• If  $Z_1,\ldots,Z_n$  are independent  $N[\mu,\sigma^2]$  variables, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(Z_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2[n]$$

## The F distribution

The F distribution with  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  degrees of freedom has density

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} cx^{n_1/2-1}(1+\frac{n_1}{n_2}x)^{-\frac{n_1+n_2}{2}} & \text{if } x > 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where c depends on n and is such that the density integrates to 1.



• If  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent chi-squared variables with degrees of freedom parameters  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , then the ratio

$$F[n_1, n_2] = \frac{X_1/n_1}{X_2/n_2}$$

has the F-distribution with  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  degrees of freedom.

. . . . . . . .

## The t-distribution

The Student's t distribution with  $\boldsymbol{n}$  degrees of freedom has density

$$f(x) = c\left(1 + \frac{x^2}{n}\right)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}$$

where c depends on n and is such that the density integrate to 1.



• If Z is an N[0,1] variable and X is  $\chi^2[n]$  and is independent of Z, then the ratio

$$t = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{X/n}}$$

has t distribution with n degrees of freedom, denoted  $t \sim t[n]$ 

• If  $t \sim t[n]$ , then  $t^2 \sim F[1, n]$ 

## Asymptotic distributions

•  $t \sim t[n] \rightarrow N[0,1]$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ 



29 / 51

- If  $F \sim F[n_1, n_2]$ , then  $n_1 F \to \chi^2[n_1]$  as  $n_2 \to \infty$
- If  $X\sim \chi^2[n],$  then  $Z=\sqrt{2X}-\sqrt{2n-1}\rightarrow N[0,1]$  as  $n\rightarrow\infty$

æ

ヘロン 人間 とくほと くほとう

## The multivariate normal distribution

A continuous random vector  ${\bf X}$  has multivariate normal (or Gauss) distribution if it has density

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} |\mathbf{\Sigma}|^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\mu)^T \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\mu)}$$

It holds 
$$\mu = E(\mathbf{X})$$
,  $\mathbf{\Sigma} = V(\mathbf{X})$ .

The notation is  $\mathbf{X} \sim N[\mu, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}]$ .

Remark:  $\Sigma$  must be non-singular.



The  $N[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}]$  is called *multivariate standard normal* or *spherical normal distribution*.

The density function of a multivariate standard normal vector is

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} |\mathbf{I}|^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{I}^{-1} \mathbf{x}}$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2}$$

$$=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{x_i^2}{2}}\right)$$

Hence  $\mathbf{X} \sim N[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}]$  iff  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are *i.i.d.* variables with standard normal distribution.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

## Partitioning a normal vector

### Theorem

lf

$$\mathbf{X} = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{X_1} \\ \mathbf{X_2} \end{array} \right] \sim N\left( \left[ \begin{array}{c} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{array} \right] \right)$$

then

- $\mathbf{X_1} \sim N[\mu_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}]$
- $\mathbf{X_2} \sim N[\mu_2, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}]$
- $\mathbf{X_2}|\mathbf{X_1} \sim N[\mu_{2.1}, \mathbf{\Sigma}_{22.1}]$  with

$$\mu_{2.1} = \mu_2 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1}(\mathbf{X}_1 - \mu_1)$$
$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22.1} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}$$

Remark:  $\mathbf{X}_1$  and  $\mathbf{X}_2$  are independent if and only if  $\sum_{12} = \sum_{21} = \mathbf{0}$ 

### All marginal and conditional distributions from a multivariate normal are normal



34 / 51

Any linear function of jointly normally distributed random variables is normally distributed:

If  $\mathbf{X} \sim N[\mu, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}]$ , then  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{b} \sim N[\mathbf{A}\mu + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{A}^T]$ .

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

A quadratic form in the variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  is homogeneous polynomial of second degree in  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ . A quadratic form can be written as

$$a_{11}x_1^2 + a_{12}x_1x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_1x_n + a_{21}x_2x_1 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n^2 = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$$

with A symmetric matrix.

A matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is said to be *idempotent* if  $\mathbf{A}^2 = \mathbf{A}$ 

A quadratic form  $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$  is called *idempotent* if  $\mathbf{A}$  is idempotent.

過 ト イヨ ト イヨト

#### Theorem

If  $\mathbf{X} \sim N[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}]$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  is symmetric and idempotent, then  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}$  has a chi-squared distribution with degrees of freedom equal to the rank of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

Remark: for a symmetric, idempotent matrix, the rank is equal to its trace (sum of the elements in the diagonal).

## Application: Distribution of $S^2$ in a Standard Normal Vector

Let 
$$X_1, ..., X_n$$
 i.i.d.  $\sim N[0, 1]$ .

Let  $\overline{X} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}{n}$  be the sample mean and  $S^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{n}$  be the sample variance.

Then

 $nS^2 \sim \chi^2[n-1]$ 

## Proof

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ \dots \\ X_n \end{bmatrix} \sim N[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}]$$

 $nS^2 = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}$ 

where 
$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 1/n & -1/n & \dots & -1/n \\ -1/n & 1 - 1/n & \dots & -1/n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ -1/n & -1/n & \dots & 1 - 1/n \end{bmatrix}$$
 is symmetric and idempotent.

Hence  $nS^2$  has a chi-squared distribution.

To find the number of degrees of freedom we need to compute rank of  ${\bf A},$  which is equal to the trace of  ${\bf A}.$ 

$$trace(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - 1/n) = n - 1.$$

3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Theorem

If  $\mathbf{X} \sim N[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}]$  and  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{X}$  are two linear forms, then  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{X}$  are independent if and only if  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^T = \mathbf{0}$ .

Let 
$$\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}$$
,  $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{X}$ . Then  

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z} \\ \mathbf{W} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{X} \sim N\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{T} & \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}^{T} \\ \mathbf{B}\mathbf{A}^{T} & \mathbf{B}\mathbf{B}^{T} \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

It follows that  $\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{W}$  are independent if and only if  $\mathbf{AB^T} = \mathbf{0}.$ 

æ

メロト メポト メヨト メヨト

# Independence of Idempotent Quadratic forms in a standard normal vector

#### Theorem

If  $\mathbf{X} \sim N[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}]$  and  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{X}$  are two idempotent quadratic forms, then  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{X}$  are independent if  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$ .



# Application: Ratio of Independent Idempotent Quadratic forms in a standard normal vector

Let  $X \sim N[0, I]$  and let A and B be symmetric idempotent matrices such that AB = 0.

Let  $r_A$  and  $r_b$  be the ranks of A and B, respectively.

According to the above theorems  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{X}$  are independent chi-square random variables.

The ratio of independent, chi-square random variables, each divided by the number of degrees of freedom, has F distribution.

Hence

$$\frac{\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} / r_A}{\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{X} / r_B} \sim F[r_A, r_B]$$

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ 聞 ト ・ 聞 ト

# Independence of a linear and quadratic form in a standard normal vector

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathbf{X} \sim N[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}]$ . A linear function  $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{X}$  and an idempotent quadratic form  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}$  are independent if  $\mathbf{L} \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$ .



- 4 B M 4 B M

# Application: independence of the sample mean and the sample variance in a standard normal vector

Let  $X_1, ..., X_n$  be i.i.d  $\sim N[0, 1]$ .

The sample mean  $\overline{X}$  and the sample variance  $S^2$  are independent random variables.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

## Proof

$$n\overline{X} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{X}$$
 with  $\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

 $nS^2 = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}$  with A as before

$$\mathbf{LA} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 1/n & -1/n & \dots & -1/n \\ -1/n & 1 - 1/n & \dots & -1/n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ -1/n & -1/n & \dots & 1 - 1/n \end{bmatrix}$$

 $= \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{array} \right]$ 

Hence  $n\overline{X}$  and  $nS^2$  are independent and, therefore  $\overline{X}$  and  $S^2$  are independent.

## The standardized normal distribution

Let  $\mathbf{X} \sim N[\mu, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}]$ .

There exists a symmetric matrix A such that  $A^2 = \Sigma$ .

 ${f A}$  is called the *square root* of  ${f \Sigma}$  and denoted by  ${f \Sigma}^{1/2}$ 

The inverse of  $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2}$  is denoted by  $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2}$  and satisfies

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1/2} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}$$

$$\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2} (\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu})$$

is called the standardized normal.

 $\mathbf{Z} \sim N[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}]$  $\mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{Z} \sim \chi^2[n]$ 

$$\mathbf{X} - \mu \sim N[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma}]$$

hence

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1/2}(\mathbf{X}-\boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim N[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1/2}\mathbf{0},\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1/2}] = N[\mathbf{0},\mathbf{I}]$$

For the last assertion

$$(\mathbf{X} - \mu)^T \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{X} - \mu) = (\mathbf{X} - \mu)^T \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2} (\mathbf{X} - \mu) = \mathbf{Z}^T \mathbf{Z}$$

2

メロト メポト メヨト メヨト

## Extended definition of multivariate normal

If  $\mathbf{X} \sim N[\mu, \mathbf{\Sigma}]$ , then  $\mathbf{X} = \mu + \mathbf{\Sigma}^{1/2} \mathbf{Z}$  with

$$\mathbf{Z} \sim N[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}]$$

### Definition

A random vector  ${\bf X}$  has multivariate normal distribution if

$$\mathbf{X} = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Z}$$

for some

$$\mathbf{Z} \sim N[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}]$$

some vector  $\mu$  and some matrix **A**.

With the new definition, all properties still hold.

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Let

$$\mathbf{X}=\boldsymbol{\mu}+\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Z}$$

with

$$\mathbf{Z} \sim N[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}]$$

Let  $\Sigma = V(X) = AA^{T}$ .

- If  $\Sigma$  is non-singular, X is continuous and the definition coincides with the old one.
- If  $\Sigma$  is singular, there exists a such that

$$\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{X}) = 0$$

Hence  $\mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{X} = a_1 X_1 + \cdots + a_n X_n = c$  with c constant. In this case  $\mathbf{X}$  has no density. If rank $(\mathbf{\Sigma}) = k$  it is possible to write n - k of the random variables as linear functions of a constant and of the remaining k random variables, that have continuous multivariate normal distribution.

## Exercise 2

Let  $Y=X\beta+\epsilon$  with  $\epsilon\sim N(0,\sigma^2I)$  and X deterministic of dimension  $n\times k$  and rank k. Let  $M=X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T$ 

a) Write the joint density of  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ .

b) Show that the MLE of  $(\beta, \sigma^2)$  is  $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y = \beta + (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \epsilon$ ,  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \hat{\epsilon}^T \hat{\epsilon} / n$  where  $\hat{\epsilon} = Y - \hat{Y}$  with  $\hat{Y} = X \hat{\beta}$ .

c) Show that 
$$\hat{\beta} \sim N(\beta, \sigma^2(X^T X)^{-1}).$$

- d) Show that  $\hat{Y} = MY = X\beta + M\epsilon$  and that  $\hat{Y} \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2 M)$ .
- e) Show that  $\hat{\epsilon} = (I M)Y = (I M)\epsilon$  and that  $\hat{\epsilon} \sim N(0, \sigma^2(I M))$ .
- f) Show that  $\hat{\epsilon}$  is independent from  $\hat{\beta}$  and from  $\hat{Y}$ .
- g) Show that  $\hat{\epsilon}^T \hat{\epsilon} / \sigma^2 \sim \chi^2 (n-k)$ .
- h) Assuming  $\beta=0,$  show that  $\hat{Y}^T\hat{Y}/\sigma^2\sim\chi^2(k).$
- i) Assuming  $\beta_i = 0$ , show that  $T_i = \hat{\beta}_i / \sqrt{[(X^T X)^{-1}]_{ii} \hat{\epsilon}^T \hat{\epsilon} / (n-k)} \sim t(n-k)$ .

j) Assuming  $\beta = 0$ , show that  $F = (\hat{Y}^T \hat{Y}/k) / (\hat{\epsilon}^T \hat{\epsilon}/(n-k)) \sim F(k, n-k)$ .